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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3 -fold of general type with canonical singularities. We prove that the 5canonical map is birational onto its image.


## 1. Introduction

One main goal of algebraic geometry is to classify algebraic varieties. The successful 3-dimensional MMP (see [16, 19] for example) has been attracting more and more mathematicians to the study of algebraic 3 -folds. In this paper, we restrict our interest to projective minimal Gorenstein 3 -folds $X$ of general type where there still remain many open problems.

Denote by $K_{X}$ the canonical divisor and $\Phi_{m}:=\Phi_{\left|m K_{X}\right|}$ the mcanonical map. There have been a lot of works along the line of the canonical classification. For instance, when $X$ is a smooth 3 -fold of general type with pluri-genus $h^{0}\left(X, k K_{X}\right) \geq 2$, in [17], as an application to his research on higher direct images of dualizing sheaves, Kollár proved that $\Phi_{m}$, with $m=11 k+5$, is birational onto its image. This result was improved by the second author [5] to include the cases $m$ with $m \geq 5 k+6$; see also [7], [9] for results when some additional restrictions (like bigger $p_{g}(X)$ ) were imposed.

On the other hand, for 3 -folds $X$ of general type with $q(X)>0$, Kollár [17] first proved that $\Phi_{225}$ is birational. Recently, the first author and Hacon [4] proved that $\Phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 7$ by using the Fourier-Mukai transform. Moreover, Luo [22], [23] has some results for 3 -folds of general type with $h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)>0$.

Now for minimal and smooth projective 3 -folds, it has been established that $\Phi_{m}(m \geq 6)$ is a birational morphism onto its image after 20 years of research, by Wilson [29] in the year 1980, Benveniste [2] in the year $1986(m \geq 8)$, Matsuki [24] in the year $1986(m=7)$, the second author [6] in the year $1998(m=6)$ and independently by Lee

[^0][20], [21] in the years 1999-2000 $(m=6$; and also the base point freeness of $m$-canonical system for $m \geq 4$ ). A very natural and well-known question arises:

Question 1.1. Let $X$ be a minimal Gorenstein 3 -fold of general type. Is $\Phi_{5}$ birational onto its images?

Despite many attempts officially or privately announced, it seems that the birationality of $\Phi_{5}$ for 3 -folds (even with the stronger assumption that $K_{X}$ is ample) remains beyond reach. The difficulty lies in the case with smaller $p_{g}(X)$ or $K_{X}^{3}$. One reason to account for this is that the non-birationality of the 4 -canonical system for surfaces may happen when they have smaller $p_{g}$ or $K^{2}$ (see Bombieri [3]), whence a naive induction on the dimension would predict the non-birationality of the 5 -canonical system on certain 3 -folds with smaller invariants.
Nevertheless, there is also evidence supporting the birationality of $\Phi_{5}$ for Gorenstein minimal 3-folds $X$ of general type. For instance, one sees that $K_{X}^{3} \geq 2$ for minimal and smooth $X$ (see 2.1 below). So an analogy of Fujita's conjecture would predict that $\left|5 K_{X}\right|$ gives a birational map. We recall that Fujita's conjecture (the freeness part) has been proved by Fujita, Ein-Lazarsfeld [10] and Kawamta [14] when $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 4$.

The aim of this paper is to answer Question 1.1 which has been around for many years:

Theorem 1.2. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with canonical singularities. Then the m-canonical map $\Phi_{m}$ is a birational morphism onto its image for all $m \geq 5$.

Example 1.3. The numerical bound " 5 " in Theorem 1.2 is optimal. There are plenty of supporting examples. For instance, let $f: V \longrightarrow B$ be any fibration where $V$ is a smooth projective 3 -fold of general type and $B$ a smooth curve. Assume that a general fiber of $f$ has the minimal model $S$ with $K_{S}^{2}=1$ and $p_{g}(S)=2$. (For example, take the product.) Then $\Phi_{\left|4 K_{V}\right|}$ is apparently not birational (see [3]).
1.4. Reduction to birationality. According to [6] or [20], to prove Theorem 1.2 , we only need to verify the statement in the case $m=5$. On the other hand, the results in $[20,21]$ show that $\left|m K_{X}\right|$ is base point free for $m \geq 4$. So it is sufficient for us to verify the birationality of $\left|5 K_{X}\right|$ in this paper.
1.5. Reduction to factorial models. According to the work of M. Reid [26] and Y. Kawamata [15] (Lemma 5.1), there is a minimal model $Y$ with a birational morphism $\nu: Y \longrightarrow X$ such that $K_{Y}=\nu^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ and that $Y$ is factorial with at worst terminal singularities. Thus it is sufficient for us to prove Theorem 1.2 for minimal factorial models.
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## 2. Notation, Formulae and Set up

We work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. By a minimal variety $X$, we mean one with nef $K_{X}$ and with terminal singularities (except when we specify the singularity type).
2.1. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Taking a special resolution $\nu: Y \longrightarrow X$ according to Reid ([26]) such that $c_{2}(Y) \cdot \triangle=0$ (see Lemma 8.3 of [25]) for any exceptional divisor $\triangle$ of $\nu$. Write $K_{Y}=\nu^{*} K_{X}+E$ where $E$ is exceptional and is mapped to a finite number of points. Then for $m \geq 2$, we have (by the vanishing in [13], [28] or [11]):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=-\frac{1}{24} K_{Y} \cdot c_{2}(Y)=-\frac{1}{24} \nu^{*} K_{X} \cdot c_{2}(Y) \\
P_{m}(X)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(m K_{X}\right)\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(m \nu^{*} K_{X}\right)\right) \\
=\frac{1}{12} m(m-1)(2 m-1) K_{X}^{3}+\frac{m}{12} \nu^{*} K_{X} \cdot c_{2}(Y)+\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \\
=(2 m-1)\left(\frac{m(m-1)}{12} K_{X}^{3}-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The inequality of Miyaoka and Yau ([25], [30]) says that $3 c_{2}(Y)-K_{Y}^{2}$ is pseudo-effective. This gives $\nu^{*} K_{X} \cdot\left(3 c_{2}(Y)-K_{Y}^{2}\right) \geq 0$. Noting that $\nu^{*} K_{X} \cdot E^{2}=0$ under this situation, we get:

$$
-72 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)-K_{X}^{3} \geq 0
$$

In particular, $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)<0$. So one has:

$$
q(X)=h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)+\left(1-p_{g}(X)\right)-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)>0
$$

whenever $p_{g}(X) \leq 1$.
2.2. Suppose that $D$ is any divisor on a smooth 3 -fold $V$. The RiemannRoch theorem gives:

$$
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}(D)\right)=\frac{D^{3}}{6}-\frac{K_{V} \cdot D^{2}}{4}+\frac{D \cdot\left(K_{V}^{2}+c_{2}\right)}{12}+\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right)
$$

Direct calculation shows that

$$
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}(D)\right)+\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}(-D)\right)=\frac{-K_{V} \cdot D^{2}}{2}+2 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Therefore, $K_{V} \cdot D^{2}$ is an even number.
Now let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3 -fold of general type. Let $D$ be any divisor on X . Then $K_{X} \cdot D^{2}=K_{Y} \cdot\left(\nu^{*} D\right)^{2}$ is even. Especially $K_{X}^{3}$ is even and positive.
2.3. Let $V$ be a smooth projective 3 -fold and let $f: V \longrightarrow B$ be a fibration onto a nonsingular curve $B$. From the spectral sequence:

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}:=H^{p}\left(B, R^{q} f_{*} \omega_{V}\right) \Longrightarrow E^{n}:=H^{n}\left(V, \omega_{V}\right),
$$

one has the following by Serre duality and Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 7.6 on pages 186 and 36 of [17]:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right)=h^{1}\left(B, f_{*} \omega_{V}\right)+h^{0}\left(B, R^{1} f_{*} \omega_{V}\right) \\
q(V):=h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right)=g(B)+h^{1}\left(B, R^{1} f_{*} \omega_{V}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

2.4. For $\mu=1,2$, we set

$$
\Phi= \begin{cases}\Phi_{\left|K_{X}\right|} & \text { if } p_{g}(X) \geq 2 \\ \Phi_{\left|2 K_{X}\right|} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since we always have $P_{2}(X) \geq 4, \Phi$ is a non-trivial rational map.
Let $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ be the a resolution of the base locus of $\Phi$. We write $\left|\pi^{*}\left(\mu K_{X}\right)\right|=\left|M^{\prime}\right|+E^{\prime}$. Then we may assume:
(1) $X^{\prime}$ is smooth;
(2) the movable part of $\left|\mu K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$ is $\left|M^{\prime}\right|$, which is base point free;
(3) $E^{\prime}$ is a normal crossing divisor ( hence so is a general member in $\left.\left|\pi^{*}\left(\mu K_{X}\right)\right|\right)$.

We will fix some notation below. The frequently used ones are $M$, $Z, S, \Delta$ and $E_{\pi}$. Denote by $g$ the composition $\Phi \circ \pi$. So $g: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow$ $W^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is a morphism. Let $g: X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} W \xrightarrow{s} W^{\prime}$ be the Stein factorization of $g$ such that $W$ is normal and $f$ has connected fibers. We can write:

$$
\left|\mu K_{X^{\prime}}\right|=\left|\pi^{*}\left(\mu K_{X}\right)\right|+\mu E_{\pi}=\left|M^{\prime}\right|+Z^{\prime}
$$

where $Z^{\prime}$ is the fixed part and $E_{\pi}$ an effective $\pi$-exceptional divisors.
On $X$, one may write $\mu K_{X} \sim M+Z$ where $M$ is a general member of the movable part and $Z$ the fixed divisor. Let $S \in\left|M^{\prime}\right|$ be the divisor corresponding to $M$, then

$$
\pi^{*}(M)=S+\triangle=S+\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i} E_{i}
$$

with $d_{i}>0$ for all $i$. The above sum runs over all those exceptional divisors of $\pi$ that lie over the base locus of $M$. Obviously $E^{\prime}=\triangle+$ $\pi^{*}(Z)$. On the other hand, one may write $E_{\pi}=\sum_{j=1}^{t} e_{j} E_{j}$ where the sum runs over all exceptional divisors of $\pi$. One has $e_{j}>0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t$ because $X$ is terminal. Apparently, one has $t \geq s$.

Note that $\operatorname{Sing}(X)$ is a finite set (see [19], Corollary 5.18). We may write $E_{\pi}=\Delta^{\prime}+\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ where $\Delta^{\prime}$ (resp. $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ ) lies (resp. does not lie) over the base locus of $|M|$. So if one only requires such a modification $\pi$ that satisfies $2.4(1)$ and $2.4(2)$, one surely has $\operatorname{supp}(\triangle)=\operatorname{supp}\left(\triangle^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $d:=\operatorname{dim} \Phi(X)$. And let $L:=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid S}$, which is clearly nef and big. Then we have the following:
Lemma 2.5. When $d \geq 2,\left(L^{2}\right)^{2} \geq\left(\pi^{*} K_{X}\right)^{3}\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}\right)$. Morover, $L^{2} \geq 2$.
Proof. Take a sufficiently large number $m$ such that $\left|m \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$ is base point free. Denote by $H$ a general member of this linear system. Then $H$ must be a smooth projective surface. On $H$, we have nef divisors $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid H}$ and $S_{\mid H}$. Applying the Hodge index theorem, one has

$$
\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid H} \cdot S_{\mid H}\right)^{2} \geq\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid H}\right)^{2}\left(S_{\mid H}\right)^{2} .
$$

Removing $m$, we get the first inequality. By $2.2,\left(\pi^{*} K_{X}\right)^{3}$ is even, hence $\geq 2$. Together with $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}>0$, we have the second inequality.

We now state a lemma which will be needed in our proof. The result might be true for all 3 -folds with rational singularities.
Lemma 2.6. Let $X$ be a normal projective 3 -fold with only canonical singularities. Let $M$ be a Cartier divisor on $X$. Assume that $|M|$ is a movable pencil and that $|M|$ has base points. Then $|M|$ is composed with a rational pencil.
Proof. Take a birational morphism $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ such that $X^{\prime}$ is smooth, that the exceptional divisor $E_{\pi}$ is of simple normal crossing, and that the map $\Phi_{|M|}$ composed with $\pi$, becomes a morphism from $X^{\prime}$ to a curve. Take a Stein factorization of the latter morphism to get an induced fibration $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow B$ onto a smooth curve $B$. The lemma asserts that $B$ must be rational.

Clearly, the exceptional divisor $E_{\pi}$ dominates $B$.
Case 1. $B s|M|$ contains a curve $\Gamma$.
This is the easier case. Note that $X$ has only finitely many points at which $K_{X}$ is non-Cartier or $X$ is non-cDV (see Cor. 5.40 of [19]). So we can pick up a very ample divisor $H$ on $X$ (avoiding these finitely many points) such that $H$ is Du Val and intersects $\Gamma$ transversally. We may assume that the strict transform $H^{\prime}$ on $X^{\prime}$ is smooth, i.e., $\pi$ is an embedded resolution of $H \subset X$. Clearly, there is an $\pi$-exceptional irreducible divisor $E$ which dominates both $\Gamma$ and $B$. Now for a general $H$, both $H^{\prime}$ and $E \cap H^{\prime}$ dominate $B$. Since the curve $E \cap H^{\prime}$ arises from the resolution $\pi: H^{\prime} \rightarrow H$ of the indeterminancy of the linear system $|M|_{\mid H}$ (whose image on $X$ is contained in $\Gamma \cap H$ ), it is rational. So $B$ is rational.

Case 2. $B s|M|$ is a finite set. (The argument below works even when $X$ is $\log$ terminal.)

Take a base point $P$ of $|M|$. Then $E=\pi^{-1}(P)$ dominates $B$, i.e., $f(E)=B$. By Kollar's Theorem 7.6 in [18], there is an analytic contractible neighborhood $V$ of $P$ such that $U=\pi^{-1}(V) \subset X^{\prime}$ is simply
connected. Suppose $g(B)>0$. Then the universal cover $h: W \longrightarrow B$ of $B$ is either the affine line $\mathbb{C}$ or an open disk in $\mathbb{C}$. By Proposition 13.5 of [12], there is a factorization for the restriction $\left.f\right|_{U}: U \longrightarrow B$, say $f=h \circ m$, where $m: U \longrightarrow W$ is continuous. Note that $m(E)$ is a compact subset of $W$, so $m(E)$ is a single point. In particular, $f(E)$ is a point, a contradiction.

## 3. The case $p_{g} \geq 2$

The following proposition is quite useful throughout the paper.
Proposition 3.1. Let $S$ be a smooth projective surface. Let $C$ be a smooth curve on $S, N^{\prime}<N$ be divisors on $S$ and $\Lambda \subset|N|$ be a subsystem. Suppose that $\left|N^{\prime}\right|_{\mid C}=\left|N^{\prime}{ }_{\mid C}\right|, \operatorname{deg}\left(N_{\mid C}\right)=1+\operatorname{deg}\left(N^{\prime}{ }_{\mid C}\right) \geq$ $1+2 g(C)$. We consider the following diagram


Suppose furthermore that $\Lambda_{\mid C}$ is free and $\Lambda_{\mid C} \supset\left|N^{\prime}\right|_{\mid C}+P_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\mid C}=|N|_{\mid C}=\left|N_{\mid C}\right|, \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is very ample and complete.
Proof. By the Riemann-Roch theorem and Serre duality, we have dim $\left|N_{\mid C}\right|=1+\operatorname{dim}\left|N^{\prime}{ }_{\mid C}\right|$. Since there are inclusions $\left|N^{\prime}\right|_{\mid C}+P_{1} \subseteq \Lambda_{\mid C} \subseteq$ $|N|_{\mid C} \subseteq\left|N_{\mid C}\right|$, now the equalities $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ in the statement follow from the dimension counting and the fact that the first inclusion above is strict by the freeness of $\Lambda_{\mid C}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X$ be a projective minimal factorial 3-fold of general type. Assume $p_{g}(X) \geq 2$. Then $\Phi_{5}$ is birational.
Proof. We distinguish cases according to $d:=\operatorname{dim} \Phi(X)$.
Case 1: $d=3$. Then $p_{g}(X) \geq 4$. $\Phi_{5}$ is birational, thanks to Theorem 3.1(i) in [9].

Case 2: $d=2$. We consider the linear system $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right|$. Since $K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S \geq S$ and according to Tankeev's principle, it is sufficient to verify the birationality of $\Phi_{\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right|_{\mid S}}$. Note that we have a fibration $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow W$ where a general fiber of $f$ is a smooth curve $C$ of genus $\geq 2$. The vanishing theorem gives:

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right|_{\mid S}=\left|K_{S}+3 L\right|
$$

where $L:=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid S}$ is a nef and big divisor on $S$.
By Lemma 2.5, $L^{2} \geq 2$. According to Reider ([27]), $\Phi_{\left|K_{S}+3 L\right|}$ is birational and so is $\Phi_{5}$.

Case 3: $d=1$. We set $b:=g(B)$. When $b>0$, let's consider the system $|M|$ on $X$. If $|M|$ has base point, then by $2.6, b=0$, which is a contradiction. Thus we may assume that $|M|$ is free. Then in this situation, $\Phi_{5}$ is birational, which is exactly the statement of Theorem 3.3 in [9]. For reader's convenience, we sketch the proof here.

From now on, we suppose $b=0$. Let $F$ be a general fiber of $f$ and denote by $\sigma: F \longrightarrow F_{0}$ the contraction onto the minimal model. We take $\pi$ to be the composition $\pi_{1} \circ \pi_{0}$ where $\pi_{0}$ satisfies 2.4(1) and 2.4(2) and $\pi_{1}$ is a further modification such that $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ is supported on a normal crossing divisor.

We may write $S \sim a F$ where $a \geq p_{g}(X)-1$. And we set $L:=$ $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid F}$ instead. From the relation

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right|_{\mid F}=\left|K_{F}+3 L\right|
$$

we see that the problem is reduced to the birationality of $\left|K_{F}+3 L\right|$ because $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right| \supset|S|$ apparently separates different fibers of $f$. Let $\bar{F}:=\pi_{*}(F)$. We know that $K_{X} \cdot \bar{F}^{2}$ is an even number by 2.2.

If $K_{X} \cdot \bar{F}^{2}>0$, then we have

$$
L^{2}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot F=K_{X}^{2} \cdot \bar{F} \geq K_{X} \cdot \bar{F}^{2} \geq 2
$$

Reider's theorem says that $\left|K_{F}+3 L\right|$ gives a birational map.
We are left with only the case $K_{X} \cdot \bar{F}^{2}=0$. First we have:
Claim 3.3. If $K_{X} \cdot \bar{F}^{2}=0$, then $\mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid F}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\sigma^{*} K_{F_{0}}\right)$.
Proof. It is obvious that the claim is true if it holds for $\pi=\pi_{0}$. So we may assume $\pi=\pi_{0}$. Now
$0=K_{X} \cdot(a \bar{F})^{2}=K_{X} \cdot M^{2}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot \pi^{*}(M) \cdot S=a \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid F} \cdot \triangle_{\mid F}$,
which means $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid F} \cdot \Delta_{\mid F}^{\prime}=0$. On the other hand, the definition of $\pi_{0}$ gives $\Delta^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mid F}=0$. Thus $\left(E_{\pi}\right)_{\mid F} \cdot \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid F}=0$.

We may write

$$
K_{F}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid F}+G
$$

where $G=\left(E_{\pi}\right)_{\mid F}$ is an effective and contractible (so negative definite) divisor on $F$. Note that $L$ is nef and big and that $L \cdot G=0$. The uniqueness of the Zariski decomposition shows that $\sigma^{*} K_{F_{0}} \sim \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid F}$. We are done.

From the above claim, we have $\Phi_{\left|K_{F}+3 L\right|}=\Phi_{\left|4 K_{F}\right|}$. We are left to verify the birationality of $\Phi_{5}$ only when $\Phi_{\left|4 K_{F}\right|}$ fails to be birational, i.e. when $K_{F_{0}}^{2}=1$ and $p_{g}(F)=2$.

The Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem ([11, 13, 28]) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+F\right|_{\mid F}=\left|K_{F}+3 \sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)\right| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $C$ a general member of the movable part of $\left|\sigma^{*} K_{F_{0}}\right|$. By [1], we know that $C$ is a smooth curve of genus 2 and $\sigma(C)$ is a general member of $\left|K_{F_{0}}\right|$. Applying the vanishing theorem again, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{F}+2 \sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)+C\right|_{\mid C}=\left|K_{C}+2 \sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)_{\mid C}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we may apply Proposition 3.1. Let $N^{\prime}:=K_{F}+2 \sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)+C$ and $N:=\left(5 \pi^{*} K_{X}\right)_{\mid F}$. Set $\Lambda=\left|5 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{\mid F}$. It's clear that $N^{\prime}<N$. Also note that $\Lambda$ is free for $\left|5 K_{X}\right|$ is free.

By (1) above, we see that $\Lambda \supset\left|N^{\prime}\right|+$ (a fixed effective divisor).
Now restrict to $C$, computation shows that $\operatorname{deg}\left(N^{\prime}{ }_{\mid C}\right)=4$ and $5=$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(N_{\mid C}\right)=1+\operatorname{deg}\left(N^{\prime}{ }_{\mid C}\right)$. Therefore, the induced inclusion $\left|N^{\prime}{ }_{\mid C}\right| \hookrightarrow$ $\left|N_{\mid C}\right|$ is given by adding a single point $P_{1}$.

By (2), we have $\left|N^{\prime}\right| C\left|=\left|N^{\prime}\right|_{\mid C}\right.$. Together with (1), we have $\Lambda_{\mid C} \supset$ $\left|N^{\prime}{ }_{\mid C}\right|+P_{1}$. Hence by Proposition 3.1, $\Lambda_{\mid C}=\left|N_{\mid C}\right|$ gives an embedding. Because $\left|5 \pi^{*} K_{X}\right|_{\mid F} \supset\left|N^{\prime}\right| \supset|C|$ (by (1) above) separates different $C$ (noting that $p_{g}(F)=2$ and $|C|$ is a rational pencil), $\Phi_{5 \mid F}$ is birational. It is clear that $\left|5 \pi^{*} K_{X}\right| \supset|S|$ separates different fibres $F$. Thus $\Phi_{5}$ is birational.

## 4. Birationality via bicanonical systems

In this section, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 by studying the bicanonical system. We set $\Phi:=\Phi_{2}$ as stated in 2.4. Denote $d_{2}:=\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{2}(X)$. We organize our proof according to the value of $d_{2}$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $X$ be a projective minimal factorial 3-fold of general type. Assume $d_{2}=3$. Then $\Phi_{5}$ is birational.
Proof. Recall that $K_{X}^{3}$ is even by 2.2, so it's either $>2$ or $=2$.
Case 1. The case $K_{X}^{3}>2$.
Pick up a general member $S$. Let $R:=S_{\mid S}$. Then $|R|$ is not composed of a pencil. Thus one obviously has $R^{2} \geq 2$. So the Hodge index theorem on $S$ yields

$$
\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid S} \cdot R \geq 2
$$

Set $L:=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid S}$. If $K_{X}^{3}>2$, then Lemma 2.5 gives $L^{2}>2$.
In this case, we must emphasize that we only need such a modification $\pi$ that satisfies 2.4(1) and 2.4(2). Namely, we don't need the normal crossings. Thus we have $\operatorname{Supp}(\triangle)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\triangle^{\prime}\right)$. This property is crucial to our proof.

Now the vanishing theorem gives

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right|_{\mid S}=\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|
$$

Because $(2 L)^{2} \geq 12$, we may apply Reider's theorem again. Assume that $\Phi_{\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|}$ is not birational. Then there is a free pencil $C$ on $S$
such that $L \cdot C=1$. Note that $R \leq 2 L$, and that $|R|$ is base point free and $|R|$ is not composed of a pencil. Thus $\operatorname{dim}\left(\Phi_{|R|}(C)\right)=1$. Because $C$ lies in an algebraic family and $S$ is of general type, we have $g(C) \geq 2$. Since $h^{0}\left(C, R_{\mid C}\right) \geq 2$, the Riemann-Roch theorem on $C$ and Clifford's theorem on $C$, it easily implies that $R \cdot C \geq 2$. Because $R \cdot C \leq 2 L \cdot C=2$, one must have $R \cdot C=2$. Since

$$
2 L=S_{\mid S}+\triangle_{\mid S}+\pi^{*}(Z)_{\mid S}
$$

and $C$ is nef, we have $\triangle_{\mid S} \cdot C=0$. This implies that ${\triangle^{\prime}}_{\mid S} \cdot C=0$. Note also that $\Delta^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mid S}=0$ for general $S$. We get $\left(E_{\pi}\right)_{\mid S} \cdot C=0$. Therefore

$$
K_{S} \cdot C=\left(K_{X^{\prime}}+S\right)_{\mid S} \cdot C=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid S} \cdot C+\left(E_{\pi}\right)_{\mid S} \cdot C+S_{\mid S} \cdot C=3,
$$

an odd number. This is impossible because $C$ is a free pencil on $S$. So $\Phi_{5}$ must be birational.

Case 2. The case $K_{X}^{3}=2$.
If $L^{2} \geq 3$, then $\phi_{5}$ is birational according to the proof in Case 1 . So we may assume $L^{2}=2$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}=2$. Set $C=S_{\mid S}$. Then $|C|$ is base point free and is not composed with a pencil. So $C^{2} \geq 2$. The Hodge index theorem also gives

$$
4=\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid S} \cdot C\right)^{2} \geq L^{2} \cdot C^{2} \geq 4
$$

The only possibility is $L^{2}=C^{2}=2$ and $L \equiv C$. On the other hand, the equality

$$
4=2 K_{X}^{3}=K_{X}^{2} \cdot(M+Z)=L^{2}+K_{X}^{2} \cdot Z=2+K_{X}^{2} \cdot Z
$$

gives $K_{X}^{2} \cdot Z=2$. Take a very big $m$ such that $\left|m K_{X}\right|$ is base point free and take a general member $H \in\left|m K_{X}\right|$. By the Hodge index theorem, $4=\frac{1}{m^{2}}\left(K_{X} \cdot M \cdot H\right)^{2} \geq \frac{1}{m^{2}}\left(K_{X}^{2} \cdot H\right)\left(M^{2} \cdot H\right)=2 K_{X} \cdot M^{2}$. Thus $K_{X} \cdot M^{2}=2$ and $\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid H} \equiv M_{\mid H}$. Multiplying it by 2 , we deduce that $Z_{\mid H} \equiv M_{\mid H}$. Thus $K_{X} \cdot Z \cdot M=\frac{1}{m} Z_{\mid H} \cdot M_{\mid H}=\frac{1}{m} M^{2} \cdot H=2$. So $L \cdot \pi^{*}(Z)_{\mid S}=2$. Since $2 C \equiv 2 L=\pi^{*}\left(2 K_{X}\right)_{\mid S}=\pi^{*}(M+Z)_{\mid S}=$ $\left(S+\Delta+\pi^{*}(Z)\right)_{\mid S}=C+\left(\Delta+\pi^{*}(Z)\right)_{\mid S}$ and $L^{2}=L \cdot C=2$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=L \cdot \Delta=C \cdot \Delta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $K_{S}=\left(K_{X^{\prime}}+S\right)_{\mid S}=C+\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+E_{\pi}\right)_{\mid S}=(C+L)+\left(\left(E_{\pi}\right)_{\mid S}\right)=$ $P+N$ is the Zariski decomposition by (3) and 2.4. Denote by $\sigma: S \longrightarrow$ $S_{0}$ the contraction onto the minimal model. Then $C+L \sim \sigma^{*}\left(K_{S_{0}}\right)$.

Note that $C=S_{\mid S}$ and $\operatorname{dim}|C| \geq \operatorname{dim}|S|_{\mid S} \geq 2$ because $|S|$ gives a generically finite map. Assume to the contrary that $\Phi_{5}$ is not birational. Then neither is $\Phi_{|S|}$. Denote by $d$ the generic degree of $\Phi_{5}$. Then:

$$
2=C^{2}=S^{3} \geq d\left(P_{2}(X)-3\right)
$$

Because $d \geq 2$, we see $P_{2}(X)=4$ and $d=2$. As we have shown in Step 1 that

$$
\left|5 K_{X^{\prime}}\right|_{\mid S} \supset \text { the movable part of }\left|K_{S}+2 L\right| \supset|C|,
$$

we see that $\Phi_{|C|}: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{h^{0}(S, C)-1}$ is not birational. On the other hand, we may write

$$
2=C^{2} \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi_{|C|}\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi_{|C|}(S)\right)
$$

If $h^{0}(S, C) \geq 4$, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi_{|C|}(S)\right) \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{|C|}=1$, i.e. $\Phi_{|C|}$ is birational which contradicts the assumption. So $h^{0}(S, C)=3$ and $|C|=|S|_{\mid S}$. Therefore $\Phi_{|C|}: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is generically finite of degree 2 . Let $\Phi_{|C|}=\tau \circ \gamma$ be the Stein factorization with $\gamma: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ a birational morphism onto a normal surface and $\tau: S^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ a finite morphism of degree 2 . We can write $C=\Phi_{|C|}^{*} \ell$ with a line $\ell$.
For a curve $E$ on $S$, by the projection formula, $C . E=\ell . \Phi_{|C| *} E$. So $E$ is contracted to a point on $S^{\prime}$ if and only if $E$ is contracted to a point on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (for $\tau$ is finite); if and only if $E$ is perpendicular to $C \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{*}\left(K_{S_{0}}\right)$ ( $=$ half of the pull back of $K_{\bar{S}}$ which is ample on the unique canonical model $\bar{S}$ of $S$ ); if and only if $E$ is contracted to a point on $\bar{S}$ by the projection formula again; we denote by $E_{\text {all }}$ the union of these $E$. By Zariski Main Theorem, both $S \backslash E_{\text {all }} \rightarrow \bar{S} \backslash$ (the image of $E_{\text {all }}$ ) and $S \backslash E_{\text {all }} \rightarrow S^{\prime} \backslash$ (the image of $E_{\text {all }}$ ) are isomorphisms (so we identify them). Both $\bar{S}$ and $S^{\prime}$ are completion of the same $S \backslash E_{\text {all }}$ by adding a finite set. The normality of $\bar{S}$ and $S^{\prime}$ implies that the birational morphisms $S \rightarrow \bar{S}$ and $S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ can be identified, so also $S^{\prime}=\bar{S}$.

Since $\bar{S}$ is normal, Propositions 5.4, 5.5 and 5.7 of [19] imply a splitting

$$
\tau_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \oplus \mathcal{L}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle. Thus we see that

$$
q(S)=q(\bar{S})=h^{1}\left(\bar{S}, \tau_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}\right)=0
$$

Since $S$ is nef and big on $X^{\prime}$, the long exact sequence

$$
0=H^{1}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}+S\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(K_{S}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}+S\right)=0
$$

gives $q(X)=q\left(X^{\prime}\right)=q(S)=0$. Noting that $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)<0$, we naturally have $p_{g}(X) \geq 2$. By Theorem 3.2, $\Phi_{5}$ is birational, a contradiction.

Therefore we have proved the birationality of $\Phi_{5}$.
Theorem 4.2. Let $X$ be a projective minimal factorial 3-fold of general type. Assume $d_{2}=2$. Then $\Phi_{5}$ is birational.
Proof. Case 1. $K_{X}^{3}>2$.
When $d_{2}=2, f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow W$ is a fibration onto a surface $W$. Taking a further modification, we may even get a smooth base $W$. Denote by $C$ a general fiber of $f$. Then $g(C) \geq 2$. Pick up a general member $S$ which is an irreducible surface of general type. We may write $S_{\mid S} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{a_{2}} C_{i}$ where $a_{2} \geq P_{2}(X)-2$. Since $K_{X}^{3}>2$, we have $a_{2} \geq P_{2}(X)-2 \geq 3$. Set $L:=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid S}$. Then $L$ is nef and big. Since $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}=$ $\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid S} \cdot S_{\mid S}\right)_{S} \geq 3\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid S} \cdot C\right)_{S} \geq 3$, Lemma 2.5 gives $L^{2} \geq 4$. The vanishing theorem gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right|_{\mid S}=\left|K_{S}+2 L\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\Phi_{5}$ is not birational. Then neither is $\Phi_{\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|}$ for a general $S$. Because $(2 L)^{2} \geq 10$, Reider's theorem ([27]) tells us that there is a free pencil $C^{\prime}$ on $S$ such that $L \cdot C^{\prime}=1$. Since $2=C^{\prime} \cdot 2 L \geq$ $C^{\prime} \cdot S_{\mid S}=a_{2} C^{\prime} \cdot C \geq 3 C^{\prime} . C$, we have $C \cdot C^{\prime}=0$. So $C^{\prime}$ lies in the same algebraic family as that of $C$. We may write

$$
2 L \equiv a_{2} C+G
$$

where $G=\left(\Delta+\pi^{*}(Z)\right)_{\mid S} \geq 0$ and $a_{2} \geq 3$. Since $2 L-C-\frac{1}{a_{2}} G \equiv$ $\left(2-\frac{2}{a_{2}}\right) L$ is nef and big, Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem gives $H^{1}\left(S, K_{S}+\left\lceil 2 L-C-\frac{1}{a_{2}} G\right\rceil\right)=0$. Thus we get a surjection:

$$
H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}+\left\lceil 2 L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} G\right\rceil\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(C, K_{C}+D\right)
$$

where $D:=\left\lceil 2 L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} G\right\rceil_{\mid C}$ with $\operatorname{deg}(D) \geq\left(2-\frac{2}{a_{2}}\right) L \cdot C>1$. Note that $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$ can separate different $C$. If $\operatorname{deg}(D) \geq 3$, then $\left|K_{C}+D\right|$ defines an embedding, and so does $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$, a contradiction.

So suppose $\operatorname{deg}(D)=2$. We now apply Proposition 3.1. Let $N^{\prime}$ be the movable part of $K_{S}+\left\lceil 2 L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} G\right\rceil$ and let $N=\pi^{*}\left(5 K_{X}\right)_{\mid S}$. Set $\Lambda:=\left|5 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{\mid S}$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have $\Lambda \supset\left|N^{\prime}\right|+$ (a fixed effective divisor), $\left|N^{\prime}\right|_{\mid C}=\left|K_{C}+D\right|, N^{\prime} \leq N$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(N_{\mid C}\right)=$ $1+\operatorname{deg}\left(N_{\mid C}^{\prime}\right)=2 g(C)+1=5$ by the calculation:

$$
4 \leq(2 g(C)-2)+2=N^{\prime} \cdot C \leq N \cdot C=5 \pi^{*} K_{X} \cdot C=5
$$

By Proposition 3.1, $\Lambda_{\mid C}=\left|N_{\mid C}\right|$ gives an embedding. It is clear that $\left|5 \pi^{*} K_{X}\right| \supset|S|$ separates different $S$, and $\left|5 \pi^{*} K_{X}\right|_{\mid S}(\supset$ the movable part of $\left.\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|\right)$ separates different $C$. Thus $\Phi_{5}$ is birational. This is again a contradiction.

Case 2. $K_{X}^{3}=2$.
We first consider the case $L^{2} \geq 3$. On the surface $S$, we are reduced to study the linear system $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$. We have

$$
2 L \sim S_{\mid S}+G=\sum_{i=1}^{a_{2}} C_{i}+G
$$

where $a_{2} \geq h^{0}\left(S, S_{\mid S}\right)-1 \geq P_{2}(X)-2 \geq 2$. Denote by $C$ a general fiber of $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow W$. If $a_{2} \geq 3$, the proof in Case 1 already works. So we assume $a_{2}=2$, then $P_{2}(X)=4$, and the image of the fibration $\Phi_{\left|S_{\mid S}\right|}$ : $S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a quadric curve which is a rational curve. This means that $|C|$ is composed with a rational pencil. Assume that $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$ does not give a birational map. Then Reider's theorem says that there is a free pencil $C^{\prime}$ on $S$ such that $L \cdot C^{\prime}=1$. We claim that $C^{\prime}$ is the same pencil as $C$. In fact, otherwise $C^{\prime}$ is horizontal with respect to $C$ and $C \cdot C^{\prime}>0$. Since $C$ is a rational pencil, $C \cdot C^{\prime} \geq 2$. Therefore $L \cdot C^{\prime} \geq 2$, a contradiction. So $C^{\prime}$ lies in the same family as that of $C$ and $L \cdot C=1$. Note that $K_{S}+2 L=\left(K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)_{\mid S}+S_{\mid S} \geq C$.

So $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$ distinguishes different elements in $|C|$. The vanishing theorem gives

$$
H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}+\left\lceil 2 L-\frac{1}{2} G\right\rceil\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(C, K_{C}+Q\right)
$$

where $Q=\left\lceil 2 L-C-\frac{1}{2} G\right\rceil_{\mid C}$ is an effective divisor on $C$. If $\left|K_{C}+Q\right|$ is not birational, neither is $\left|K_{C}\right|$. So $C$ must be a hyper-elliptic curve. Suppose $\Phi_{5}$ is not birational. Then $\Phi_{5}$ must be a morphism of generic degree 2. Set $s=\Phi_{5}: X \longrightarrow W_{5} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$. Then $5 K_{X}=s^{*}(H)$ for a very ample divisor $H$ on the image $W_{5}$. So

$$
5=5 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot C=2 \operatorname{deg}\left(\left.H\right|_{s(\pi(C))}\right)=2 \operatorname{deg}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}} s(\pi(C))
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\Phi_{5}$ must be birational under this situation.

Next we consider the case $L^{2}=2$. Lemma 2.5 says $2=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}=$ $a_{2} L \cdot C$. We see that $a_{2}=2$ and $L \cdot C=1$. We still consider the linear system $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$. As above, $a_{2}=2$ implies that $|C|$ is a rational pencil. Since $K_{S}+2 L \geq C$, we see that $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$ distinguishes different elements in $|C|$. By the same argument as above, we have

$$
\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|_{\mid C} \supset\left|K_{C}+Q\right| \supset\left|K_{C}\right| .
$$

If $\Phi_{5}$ is not birational, then neither is $\Phi_{\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|}$. This means that $C$ must be a hyper-elliptic curve and $\Phi_{5}$ is of generic degree 2 . With the property that $\left|5 K_{X}\right|$ is base point free, we also have a contradiction as in the previous case. So $\Phi_{5}$ is birational.

Theorem 4.3. Let $X$ be a projective minimal factorial 3-fold of general type. Assume $d_{2}=1$. Then $\Phi_{5}$ is birational.

Proof. When $X$ is smooth, this theorem was established in [7]. Our result is a generalization.

Taking the modification $\pi$ as in 2.4, we get an induced fibration $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow W$ and $B:=W$ is a smooth curve of genus $b:=g(B)$. By Lemma 2.1 of [8], we know that $0 \leq b \leq 1$. Let $F$ be a general fiber of $f$.

Claim 4.4. We have

$$
\mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid F}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)\right)
$$

where $\sigma: F \longrightarrow F_{0}$ is the contraction onto the minimal model.
Proof. If $b>0$, then the movable part of $\left|2 K_{X}\right|$ is already base point free by Lemma 2.6. The claim is automatically true.

Suppose $b=0$. Set $\bar{F}:=\pi_{*} F$. We may write (see 2.4):

$$
S=\sum_{i=1}^{a_{2}} F_{i}
$$

where $a_{2} \geq P_{2}(X)-1 \geq 3$ and $F_{i}$ is a smooth fiber of $f$ for each $i$. Then $2 K_{X} \equiv a_{2} \bar{F}+Z$. Assume $K_{X} \cdot \bar{F}^{2}>0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 K_{X}^{3} & \geq a_{2} K_{X}^{2} \cdot \bar{F} \geq a_{2}^{2} \\
& \geq\left(P_{2}(X)-1\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(K_{X}^{3}-6 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)-2\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(K_{X}^{3}+4\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above inequality is absurd. Thus $K_{X} \cdot \bar{F}^{2}=0$ and $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)_{\mid F} \cdot \triangle_{\mid F}=$ 0 . Now we apply the same argument as in the proof of Claim 3.3. Thus the claim is true.

Considering the linear system $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right| \supset|S|$, which apparently separates different fibers of $f$, we get a surjection by the vanishing theorem:

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right|_{\mid F}=\left|K_{F}+2 \sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)\right| .
$$

Since $F$ is a surface of general type, $\Phi_{\left|3 K_{F}\right|}$ is birational except when $\left(K_{F_{0}}^{2}, p_{g}(F)\right)=(1,2)$, or $(2,3)$. Thus $\Phi_{5}$ is birational except when $F$ is of those two types.

From now on, we assume that $F$ is one of the above two types. Then $q(F)=0$ according to surface theory. By 2.3 , one has $q(X)=b$ because $R^{1} f_{*} \omega_{X^{\prime}}=0$. Since we may assume $p_{g}(X) \leq 1$ by Theorem 3.2, $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)<0$ and $b \leq 1$, we see that the only possibility is $q(X)=b=1$, $p_{g}(X)=1$ and $h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=0$.

Let $D \in\left|\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$ be the unique effective divisor. Since $2 D \sim$ $2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$, there is a hyperplane section $H_{2}^{0}$ of $W^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{P_{2}(X)-1}$ such that $g^{*}\left(H_{2}^{0}\right) \equiv a_{2} F$ and $2 D=g^{*}\left(H_{2}^{0}\right)+Z^{\prime}$. Set $Z^{\prime}:=Z_{v}+2 Z_{h}$, where $Z_{v}$ is the vertical part with respect to the fibration $f$ and $2 Z_{h}$ the horizontal part. Thus

$$
D=\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{*}\left(H_{2}^{0}\right)+Z_{v}\right)+Z_{h} .
$$

Noting that $D$ is a integral divisor, for a general fiber $F,\left(Z_{h}\right)_{\mid F}=$ $D_{\mid F} \sim \sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)$.

Considering the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor

$$
K_{X^{\prime}}+4 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)-F-\frac{1}{a_{2}} Z_{v}-\frac{2}{a_{2}} Z_{h}
$$

set

$$
G:=3 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+D-\frac{1}{a_{2}} Z_{v}-\frac{2}{a_{2}} Z_{h}
$$

and

$$
D_{0}:=\lceil G\rceil=3 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+\left\lceil\left(1-\frac{2}{a_{2}}\right) Z_{h}\right\rceil+\text { vertical divisors. }
$$

For a general fiber $F, G-F \equiv\left(4-\frac{2}{a_{2}}\right) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ is nef and big. Therefore, by the vanishing theorem, $H^{1}\left(X^{\prime}, K_{X^{\prime}}+D_{0}-F\right)=0$.

We then have a surjective map

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, K_{X^{\prime}}+D_{0}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(F, K_{F}+3 \sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)+\left\lceil\left(1-\frac{2}{a_{2}}\right) Z_{h}\right\rceil_{\mid F}\right)
$$

If $F$ is a surface with $\left(K^{2}, p_{g}\right)=(2,3)$, then $\Phi_{\left|K_{F}+3 \sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)+\left\lceil\left(1-\frac{2}{a_{2}}\right) Z_{h}\right]_{|F|}\right|}$ is birational on $F$. Otherwise, since

$$
\left\lceil\left(1-\frac{2}{a_{2}}\right) Z_{h}\right\rceil_{\mid F} \geq\left\lceil\left(1-\frac{2}{a_{2}}\right)\left(Z_{h}\right)_{\mid F}\right\rceil=\left\lceil\left(1-\frac{2}{a_{2}}\right) D_{\mid F}\right\rceil,
$$

Proposition 2.1 of [9] implies that $\Phi_{\left|K_{F}+3 \sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)+\left\lceil\left(1-\frac{2}{a_{2}}\right) Z_{h}\right\rceil_{\mid F}\right|}$ is birational. Thus $\Phi_{5}$ is birational.

Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 imply Theorem 1.2.
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